

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,949	OMARY ET AL.	
	Examiner Carla Myers	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) Carla Myers. (3) _____.

(2) Pamela Sherwood. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 July 2010 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that Applicant's arguments in the Appeal Brief were persuasive to overcome the previous enablement rejection. The examiner faxed Applicant's representative a proposed examiner's amendment. It was indicated that the amendment included the addition of new claim 16 and amended claim 15 to recite methods that further detect 5 of the keratin K8 mutations which have priority to provisional application 60/462,989. Applicant's representative authorized the attached examiner's amendment..